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### Revenues, Outlays, and Deficits, 1992 and 2016 (% of GDP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>1992</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Defense Discretionary</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nondefense Discretionary</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Security</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare &amp; Medicaid</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Non-interest</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Interest</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Outlays</strong></td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenues</strong></td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deficit</strong></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Outlay components may not sum to total outlays due to rounding. Deficit may not equal the difference between revenues and outlays due to rounding. Source: Office of Management and Budget; author’s calculations.
Largely Because Defense & Net Interest Have Fallen
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While Health Spending Has Risen
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The Debt is Substantially Larger Than It Was in 1992...

Federal Debt: Actual vs. Projected

Source: Congressional Budget Office.
But the Debt is Still Well Below the Forecasts Made in 1992...

Federal Debt: Actual vs. Projected

Note: Projections are adjusted to account for changes in the definition of nominal GDP since projections were released. GAO estimates were converted from percent of GNP. Source: Congressional Budget Office; Government Accountability Office; Bureau of Economic Analysis; author’s calculations.
Deficits Have Generally Fallen Below Earlier Forecasts

Federal Deficit: Actual vs. Projected

Note: Projections are adjusted to account for changes in the definition of nominal GDP since projections were released. GAO estimates were converted from percent of GNP. Dashed line indicates most recent projection.

Source: Congressional Budget Office; Bipartisan Commission on Entitlement and Tax Reform; Government Accountability Office; Bureau of Economic Analysis; Board of Trustees, Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance and Disability Insurance Trust Funds; author’s calculations.
Revenue Has Generally Been Slightly Below What Was Expected…

Revenue: Actual vs. Projected

Note: Projections are adjusted to account for changes in the definition of nominal GDP since projections were released. GAO estimates were converted from percent of GNP. Dashed line indicates most recent projection.

Source: Congressional Budget Office; Bipartisan Commission on Entitlement and Tax Reform; Government Accountability Office; Bureau of Economic Analysis; Board of Trustees, Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance and Disability Insurance Trust Funds; author’s calculations.
...But Spending Has Fallen Even Further Below Expectations

Spending: Actual vs. Projected

Note: Projections are adjusted to account for changes in the definition of nominal GDP since projections were released. GAO estimates were converted from percent of GNP. Dashed line indicates most recent projection.
Source: Congressional Budget Office; Bipartisan Commission on Entitlement and Tax Reform; Government Accountability Office; Bureau of Economic Analysis; Board of Trustees, Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance and Disability Insurance Trust Funds; author’s calculations.
Discretionary Spending Has Roughly Tracked Expectations

Discretionary Spending: Actual vs. Projected

Note: Projections are adjusted to account for changes in the definition of nominal GDP since projections were released. Dashed line indicates most recent projection. Source: Congressional Budget Office; Bipartisan Commission on Entitlement and Tax Reform; Bureau of Economic Analysis; Board of Trustees, Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance and Disability Insurance Trust Funds; author's calculations.
Social Security Spending Has Also Tracked Expectations

Old-Age, Survivors, and Disability Insurance Costs: Actual vs. Projected

Note: Projections are adjusted to account for changes to nominal GDP since projections were released. Dashed line indicates most recent projection. Source: Congressional Budget Office; Board of Trustees, Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance and Disability Insurance Trust Funds; Bureau of Economic Analysis; Council of Economic Advisers; author’s calculations.
But Health Spending is Well Below Previous Forecasts

Health Care Spending: Actual vs. Projected

Percent of GDP

Note: Projections are adjusted to account for changes in the definition of nominal GDP since projections were released. Dashed line indicates most recent projection.

Source: Office of Management and Budget; Congressional Budget Office; Bipartisan Commission on Entitlement and Tax Reform; Bureau of Economic Analysis; Board of Trustees, Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance and Disability Insurance Trust Funds; author’s calculations.
Including Medicare, Which Has Also Been Well Below Expectations

Hospital Insurance and Supplementary Medical Insurance Costs: Actual vs. Projected

Note: Projections are adjusted to account for changes to nominal GDP since projections were released. Dashed line indicates most recent projection.

Source: Congressional Budget Office; Board of Trustees, Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance and Disability Insurance Trust Funds; Board of Trustees, Federal Supplementary Medical Insurance Trust Fund; Bureau of Economic Analysis; Council of Economic Advisers; author’s calculations.
Net Interest Payments Have Fallen Relative to GDP—Contrary to the Projected Rise

Net Interest Payments: Actual vs. Projected

- Actual
- GAO (1992)
- CBO (1996)
- Kerrey-Danforth (1994)

Note: Projections are adjusted to account for changes in the definition of nominal GDP since projections were released. GAO estimates were converted from percent of GNP. Dashed line indicates most recent projection.

Source: Congressional Budget Office; Bipartisan Commission on Entitlement and Tax Reform; Government Accountability Office; Bureau of Economic Analysis; Board of Trustees, Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance and Disability Insurance Trust Funds; author’s calculations.
Growth Rates Well Above Previous Forecasts, But Interest Rates Well Below

Projected and Actual Economic Growth and Interest Rates, Calendar Year 2015 (Percent)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Real GDP Growth</th>
<th>Nominal Interest Rate on Government Debt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GAO (1992)</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerrey-Danforth (1994)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBO (1996)</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Approximately zero.

Source: Congressional Budget Office; Government Accountability Office; Bipartisan Commission on Entitlement and Tax Reform.
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The Debt is Projected to Rise, But Some Progress Since 2010 (Caveat: Methodology Not Constant)

Long-Term Federal Debt Outlook

Source: Congressional Budget Office.
At the Time of Bowles-Simpson the Deficit Was Never Projected to Fall Below 4 Percent of GDP

Note: GDP estimates from Bowles-Simpson were adjusted to reflect revisions to the calculation of nominal GDP since 2010.
Source: National Commission on Fiscal Responsibility and Reform; Congressional Budget Office; author’s calculations.
Bowles-Simpson Proposed to Cut the Deficit to 1 Percent of GDP

Deficit under Bowles-Simpson

Note: GDP estimates from Bowles-Simpson were adjusted to reflect revisions to the calculation of nominal GDP since 2010. Source: National Commission on Fiscal Responsibility and Reform; Congressional Budget Office; author’s calculations.
The Deficit Has Fallen But Not As Much As Bowles-Simpson Proposed

Deficit under Bowles-Simpson

Percent of GDP

Note: GDP estimates from Bowles-Simpson were adjusted to reflect revisions to the calculation of nominal GDP since 2010. Dashed line indicates latest CBO projection. Source: National Commission on Fiscal Responsibility and Reform; Congressional Budget Office; author’s calculations.
The Main Source of Higher Deficits is Because Revenue is Below the Bowles-Simpson Proposal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Assuming Bowles-Simpson Was Targetting Nominal Dollars</th>
<th>Assuming Bowles-Simpson Was Targetting Percent of GDP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mandatory Outlays</td>
<td>-$142B</td>
<td>0.1 p.p.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discretionary Outlays</td>
<td>$14B</td>
<td>0.5 p.p.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Percentage points denoted p.p.
Source: National Commission on Fiscal Responsibility and Reform; Congressional Budget Office; author’s calculations.
What Would Bowles-Simpson Look Like Going Forward?

Policy Changes Needed for the Current 10-Year Budget (2018-27) to Get Back to the Original Bowles-Simpson Proposed Levels

**Taxes:** $6 to $11 trillion increase

**Discretionary spending:** $300 billion to $1.3 trillion cut

**Mandatory spending:** $1 trillion cut to $2 trillion *increase*

*Note: numbers vary depending on whether Bowles-Simpson targets are interpreted as nominal dollars or percent of GDP*
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1. The Way We Collect Taxes—E.g. A High Statutory Rate for Corporations

Statutory Corporate Income Tax Rates in the G-7, 2016

Average Effective Tax Rates in the G-7, 2006-2009

Note: Combined central and sub-central (statutory) corporate income tax rate given by the central government rate (less deductions for sub-national taxes) plus the sub-central rate. G-7 average is calculated using gross domestic product (in current U.S. dollars) as weights.

Source: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development; national sources via Haver Analytics; author’s calculations; Council of Economic Advisers (2015).
2. The Composition of Spending—E.g. Too Little Investment

Selected U.S. Public Expenditures, 1950-2016

Source: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development; CBO (2015); Bureau of Economic Analysis, National Income and Product Accounts; author’s calculations.
3. The Level of Spending—United States is Low in Comparative Perspective

General Government Expenditures as Share of GDP, 2015

Source: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
3. The Level of Taxes—United States is Low in Comparative Perspective

**General Government Revenues as Share of GDP, 2015**

Source: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
4. Countercyclical Policy: The Difference Between Taxes and Spending in Recessions

Government Size and the Cyclical Semi-Elasticity of Automatic Stabilizers

Elasticity (Automatic Stabilizers)

Government Size (Spending to GDP Ratio)

Source: Fatás and Mihov (2012).
4. The “New View” is that Fiscal Policy is More Important Today Given Less Scope for Conventional Monetary Policy

Real Ten-Year Benchmark Rate

Note: Inflation measured by one-year changes in the core consumer price index. Source: National sources via Haver Analytics; author’s calculations.
5. The Difference Between Taxes and Spending in the Medium and Long Run

Long-Term Budget Outlook

Percent of GDP

Year | Revenue | Non-interest Outlays | Outlays | Deficit
--- | --- | --- | --- | ---
2017 | 17.8 | 19.3 | 20.7 | 2.9
2022 | 18.5 | 19.6 | 20.9 | 3.4
2027 | 19.2 | 20.0 | 21.4 | 4.1
2032 | 19.9 | 20.5 | 22.0 | 4.8
2037 | 20.6 | 21.0 | 22.6 | 5.4
2042 | 21.3 | 21.5 | 23.2 | 6.1
2047 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 23.8 | 6.8

Source: Congressional Budget Office.
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Fiscal Approach: Do No Harm (i.e., PAYGO) & Ensure Solvency for Social Security and Medicare Hospital Insurance

Fiscal Gap: Trustees' Assumptions

Percent of GDP

-2 0 2 4 6 8

Fiscal Gap Assuming Future Tax Cuts and Spending Increases
Pay for Future Spending Increases
Pay for Future Tax Cuts
Social Security Solvency
Medicare Solvency
Fiscal Gap

4.2 -2.2 -1.7 -1.0 -0.3 -1.0

Source: Auerbach and Gale (2017); Trustees (2016).
Do No Harm & Maintain Solvency Assuming the More Pessimistic CBO Assumptions

Source: Auerbach and Gale (2017); Congressional Budget Office (2017).
Advantages of This Approach

1. **Grounded in broad existing agreement.** Everyone from Sam Johnson to Bernie Sanders, for example, agrees on Social Security solvency.

2. **Feasible but meaningful line to draw and defend.** Feasible to pay for departures from the CBO baseline since the big issues are resolved.

3. **Agnostic on debates over the size/role of government.** Treats taxes/spending symmetrically both in PAYGO and for solvency.

4. **Allows solution to be reached in stages.** E.g. could continue to address Medicare solvency through periodic legislation drawing on new lessons.

5. **Automatically adjusts as uncertainty is resolved.** Solvency projections are updated annually, so more/less adjustment if the problem is more/less severe.
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